

Technical report 711/2023/ENG

TECHNICAL DESIGN OF THE DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY 2023

Authors: Lucie Hausmannová et al.

Prague, 2023

REPORT NAME: Technical Design of the Deep Geological Repository 2023

PROJECT IDENTIFICATION: Technical report TZ 711/2023

BIBLIOGRAPHIC RECORD: HAUSMANNOVÁ L, DOHNÁLKOVÁ M., MATUŠKOVÁ E., LAHODOVÁ Z., AND AUGUSTA J. (2023): Technical Design of the Deep Geological Repository 2023, SÚRAO technical report no. TZ 711/2023/ENG, Prague.

List of revisions:

Page/ chapt er	Nature of the change	Date	Processed by	Approved by

Contents

1	Introduction	11
2	Description of the deep geological repository system	12
2.1	Inventory	13
2.1.1	Spent nuclear fuel	13
2.1.2	Other high-level waste	15
2.1.3	Intermediate-level waste	16
2.2	Surface area	18
2.2.1	Trans-shipment facility and facility for the preparation of RAW and SNF	20
2.3	Underground area	20
2.3.1	Excavation method	20
2.3.2	Main mine workings	21
2.3.3	Disposal horizon	23
3	SNF/HLW disposal section	27
3.1	WDP disposal method	28
3.2	Disposal corridors	30
3.3	Disposal wells	30
3.3.1	Disposal wells for WDPs with SNF	30
3.3.2	Disposal wells for other HLW	31
3.4	Waste disposal package – WDP	31
3.4.1	WDPs for SNF from nuclear power stations	31
3.4.2	WDP for LVR-15 SNF	32
3.4.3	WDP for reprocessed fuel	33
3.5	Buffer	33
3.5.1	Main filling material	34
3.5.2	Bottom levelling layer	34
3.5.3	Filling of the technological joints	35
3.6	Spacer block	37
3.6.1	Main filling	38
3.6.2	Filling of the technological joints	38
3.7	Backfill	38
3.8	Disposal corridor plugs	40
4	ILW disposal area	42
4.1	ILW disposal chambers	43
4.2	ILW waste package	44

4.3	Chamber backfilling material	45
4.4	Disposal chamber plug.....	46
5	Closure of the DGR.....	47
5.1	Backfilling in the closure stage	47
5.1.1	Backfilling of the disposal horizon	47
5.1.2	Backfilling above the disposal horizon (medium horizon)	47
5.1.3	Backfilling above the disposal horizon (near-surface).....	48
5.2	Closure/sealing plugs	48
5.2.1	Plugs in the disposal horizon.....	48
5.2.2	Pressure and sealing plugs	49
5.2.3	Closure plugs	50
6	Conclusion	52

List of abbreviations:

AZ	Nuclear reactor active zone
ČBÚ	Czech Mining Authority
DGR	Deep geological repository
EDU	Dukovany nuclear power plant
ETE	Temelín nuclear power plant
FA	Fuel assembly
HLW	High-level waste
LVR-15	Tank-type light water research reactor with a thermal output of 10 MW
NPP	Nuclear power plant
RAW	Radioactive waste
ILW	Intermediate-level waste
SNF	Spent nuclear fuel
SÚRAO	Czech Radioactive Waste Repository Authority
TBM	Tunnel boring machine
TZ	Technical report
VVER-1000	Eastern-type pressurised water reactor with a nominal electrical output of 1000 MW (ETE reactors)
VVER-440	Eastern-type pressurised water reactor with a nominal electrical output of 440 MW (EDU reactors)
WDP	Waste disposal package
WP	Waste package

Explanation of terms:

Buffer

The buffer comprises the engineered sealing and damping barrier that will surround the WDP in the disposal well. Its main functions concern the protection of the WDP from damage and the retardation of the migration of radionuclides in the event of damage to the WDP.

Backfill

The backfill comprises the engineered barrier that will both fill and seal the disposal corridors. It will also serve to keep the buffer in place so that it does not swell into the disposal corridors, which would lead to the loss of its protection and radionuclide retention properties.

Dose, radiological dose

This refers to the total exposure of a reference individual from external exposure as quantified by the effective dose and internal exposure quantified by the time of the effective dose.

Deep geological repository (DGR)

This refers to a radioactive waste repository that is located hundreds of metres below the earth's surface and is intended for the disposal of high-level waste (Decree 378/2016 Coll., Section 2).

Institutional RAW

Radioactive waste that is generated other than via the operation of nuclear power plant reactors, i.e. via the use of radioactive sources in the industrial, research, health, etc. sectors.

Engineered barrier

A man-made barrier that serves to prevent the transport of radionuclides. Engineered barriers comprise, for example, the waste disposal package, bentonite-based sealing materials, etc.

Other HLW and ILW

Other high-level waste (HLW) and intermediate-level waste (ILW) produced in the Czech Republic that does not meet the acceptability conditions for disposal in existing surface and near-surface repositories, and which is expected to be disposed of in the deep geological repository.

ILW waste package

The waste package for intermediate-level waste designed in the form of a cube, which allows for the placement of up to four 216-litre steel drums.

Waste acceptance criteria

The safety, technical and administrative conditions and limits concerning the properties of radioactive waste that can be accepted for disposal.

Waste disposal package (WDP)

The waste disposal package serves as the primary physical barrier in terms of ensuring the long-term safety of the deep geological repository.

Sealed Radionuclide Source (SRS)

A radionuclide source, the encapsulation or protective covering of which ensures testing-verified sealing and prevents the leakage of radionuclides under predictable use and wear conditions (according to Act No. 263/2016 – the Atomic Act). For the purposes of this report, emitters that failed the sealing test are also included in this category.

Abstrakt

Tento dokument stručně shrnuje technické řešení hlubinného úložiště (HÚ) radioaktivního odpadu v České republice. Jedná se o popis aktualizovaný k roku 2023. V úvodu dokumentu je popsán inventář, jakožto zásadní vstup při návrhu HÚ. Dále je popsán stručně celý komplex hlubinného úložiště a jeho rozdělení na povrchový a podzemní areál.

Hlavní část dokumentu je zaměřena na podzemní část HÚ, konkrétně na ukládací sekce a inženýrské bariéry, které jsou pro dlouhodobou bezpečnost zásadní. Pro každou komponentu jsou shrnuty návrhové parametry.

Klíčová slova

Hlubinné úložiště, inženýrské bariéry, koncept, technické řešení 2023, návrhové parametry, multibariérový systém

Abstract

This document provides a summary of the technical design of the Czech deep geological repository (DGR) for radioactive waste as updated in 2023. The first part of the document comprises a description of the expected inventory, which is one of the essential input factors for the design of the DGR. This is followed by a brief description of the whole of the DGR complex and its various components as divided into the surface and underground areas.

The main part of the document focuses on the underground part of the DGR, i.e. the disposal sections and the engineered barriers, which are essential in terms of ensuring long-term safety. A summary of the design parameters is provided for each of the components.

Keywords

Deep geological repository, engineered barriers, concept, technical solution 2023, design parameters, multibarrier system

1 Introduction

The technical design of the deep geological repository for the disposal of radioactive waste (DGR) in the Czech Republic has been under development since the 1990s (Holub I., et al., 1999). Over the years, the input information has been refined with concern to the rock mass and the waste inventory, and according to the availability of new scientific knowledge in this field. The purpose of this document is to provide a summary of the currently considered technical parameters for the DGR and its various components. In addition, the report contains references to SÚRAO documentation that describes the Czech DGR design concept, which ensures that it comprises a source of relevant and up-to-date information.

The report provides a general description of the whole of the DGR system, focusing in greater detail on the underground part of the facility. It consists of a technical description of the relevant underground structures, the engineered barriers and other components based on the currently assumed waste inventory, the rock environment, the construction technology anticipated and the configuration of the disposal complex.

The document was prepared in such a way that it can be regularly updated according to the attainment of SÚRAO's various strategic milestones connected, for example, with the selection of the final and backup sites for the construction of the DGR. The attainment of each of the milestones will allow for the refinement of the input data for the design of the DGR based on the results of the research and development of the engineered barriers and other components, the updating of project design variants and the data obtained from the (currently) four candidate DGR sites.

This document comprises the second update to report no. TZ 580/2022 (Dohnálková et al., 2022); the first update, report no. TZ 580/2022 revision 1, was merely of a formal nature.

The main changes and clarifications presented in this update compared to those covered by report TZ 580/2022 revision 1 are as follows:

1. The choice of the vertical disposal method
2. The choice of the conventional excavation method
3. The division of the disposal of other RAW, i.e. other high-level waste (HLW) will be disposed of in the section with spent nuclear fuel (SNF), and intermediate-level waste (ILW) will be disposed of in a separate section of the repository
4. Justification of the period for the demonstration of long-term safety
5. Refinement of the ILW inventory
6. Addition of the waste disposal container (WDP) for other HLW
7. More detailed description of the buffer of the disposal wells
8. More detailed description of the backfilling of the disposal corridors
9. More detailed description of the plugs
10. Change in the terminology: loading corridor is henceforth referred to as disposal corridor

2 Description of the deep geological repository system

The approach to the management of spent nuclear fuel and other RAW that does not meet the waste acceptance criteria for disposal in existing repositories in the Czech Republic is based on the requirement to ensure the long-term protection of the environment by means of disposal in a deep geological repository (DGR) according to the RAW and SNF Management Concept (Concept, 2019). Disposal in the DGR will ensure the long-term isolation of the waste via the so-called multi-barrier system, i.e. a set of natural and engineered barriers and structural components that serve to physically prevent the release of radionuclides into the biosphere for as long as the waste remains a potential threat. All the waste that is unacceptable for disposal in existing surface and near-surface repositories will eventually be disposed of in the DGR.

The technical design of the DGR reflects the requirements set out in Section 45 of Act No. 263/2016 Coll., on the requirements for nuclear facilities without nuclear reactors and radioactive waste repositories:

- a) the physical prevention of the occurrence of critical and supercritical conditions,
- b) ensuring the removal of heat generated by the waste and
- c) ensuring radioactive shielding and the prevention of the release of radioactive materials and ionising radiation into the environment.

A further essential requirement when dealing with ionising radiation sources in planned exposure situations, including the DGR, is that the activity dose that can be received by a representative person does not exceed the so-called dose optimisation limit, which according to Act No. 263/2016 Coll., Section 82, is set at 0.25 mSv per year.

The DGR will comprise a surface area and an underground complex (Fig. 1).

The surface area will serve for the operation of the DGR complex. This area will house the administrative buildings, technical equipment for the construction of the DGR (including the underground section), and the technical facilities for the operation of the DGR (including the equipment necessary to ensure operational safety). The surface area will also house the trans-shipment facility for the receipt of RAW and SNF and a hot chamber for the transfer of RAW to WDPs and the repackaging and processing of RAW according to DGR operational requirements where necessary. It is envisaged that the trans-shipment facility and the hot chamber will be located below the surface. The research concerning the trans-shipment facility (RAW acceptance area) is ongoing and the project will be finalised according to the conditions that prevail at the finally selected DGR site.

The surface area will be connected to the underground section by two inclined tunnels. The greater part of the underground complex will comprise the disposal spaces. The first section will serve for the disposal of high-level waste (HLW) and spent nuclear fuel (SNF); it will be divided into sub-sections, which will be excavated and put into operation on a gradual basis according to the requirement for disposal capacity, which will depend on the amount of HLW and SNF produced going forward. The second section will be used for the disposal of intermediate-level waste (ILW).




Fig. 1 - Diagram of the DGR; underground and surface areas

2.1 Inventory

All the radioactive waste that is unacceptable for disposal in existing surface and near-surface repositories will be disposed of in the DGR. The waste will comprise primarily SNF and HLW, as well as ILW produced mainly via the decommissioning of nuclear facilities (Podlaha J. and Trtílek R., 2023, TZ 676/2023).

2.1.1 Spent nuclear fuel

The DGR concept is based on requirements for the disposal of SNF from the existing Dukovany (EDU) and Temelín (ETE) nuclear power plants (NPP) and the construction of 3 new nuclear sources (NNS) according to the 2014 State Energy Concept Update (ASEK, 2014). The disposal concept assumes the direct disposal of SNF (i.e. without reprocessing). The time between the removal of the fuel from the NPP reactor and its disposal in the DGR is considered to be 65 years for all the NPP units (including NNS). The expected SNF inventory has recently been updated (see SÚRAO report TZ 694/2023 (Fejt et al., 2023)); the various parameters will be updated on a continuous basis as more information becomes available. The expected number of waste packages (WP) to be disposed of and the corresponding number of waste disposal packages (WDP) is shown in Tab. 1. Tab. 2 shows the thermal output of the WDPs at the time of disposal in the DGR. The development of the thermal output over time is shown in Fig. 2. This parameter comprises essential input for the thermo-technical calculations, which will be used to determine the final dimensions of the DGR.

Tab. 1 - General SNF parameters for disposal in the DGR based on the Concept (2019)

Parameter	Unit	Nuclear reactor type		
		VVER-440	VVER-1000	NNS
Nuclear power plant	-	EDU	ETE	Currently unknown
Number of blocks (nuclear reactors)	-	4	2	3
Max. nuclear fuel burn-up	MWd·kg _{TK} ⁻¹	60		70
Max. nuclear reactor operational period	years	60		
Min. period from the removal of fuel from the nuclear reactor active zone to its disposal in the DGR	years	65		
Number of fuel assemblies (FA) during operation	-	21,700	5,400	8,100
Mass of uranium in the SNF from the operation of all the blocks	tonnes	2,650	2,555	3,831
Width of the FA	mm	144.0 ^a	234.0 ^b	Currently unknown
Length of the FA	mm	2,601.5 ^a	4,520 ^b	

^a according to TVEL, 2022

^b according to Westinghouse Nuclear, 2022

Tab. 2 - Estimated parameters and numbers of WDP according to (Kobylka, 2019)

Parameter	Unit	Nuclear reactor type		
		VVER-440	VVER-1000	NNS
Number of FA in one WDP	-	7	3	
Mass of SNF in one WDP	kg	855	1,419	
Thermal output of one WDP 65 years after removal from the nuclear reactor active zone (AZ)	W	655	1,125	1,221
Total number of WDPs of the given type from the operation of all the blocks	-	3,100	1,800	2,700




Fig. 2 - Residual power at the maximum value point with respect to the WDP (Kobylka, 2019)

2.1.2 Other high-level waste

Other high-level waste will also be disposed of in the DGR. According to the updated proposal, as described in SÚRAO report no. TZ 676/2023 (Podlaha and Trtílek, 2023), this waste will be disposed of in the same section of the DGR as SNF. The waste will comprise:

- RAW from the reprocessing of SNF from the LVR-15 research reactor (vitrified) and
- SNF from other Czech research reactors.

Tab. 3 lists the properties of the vitrified material created via the reprocessing of the SNF from the LVR-15 research reactor. Vitrified material will be returned from the Russian Federation to the Czech Republic in two planned shipments (2026 and 2033). More detailed information on this material is provided in a report by Podlaha and Trtílek (2023).

Tab. 3 - RAW balance from the reprocessing of SNF from the LVR-15 research reactor (Podlaha and Trtílek, 2023)

	Number of WP with HLW	Volume of HLW (m ³)	Weight of HLW (kg)	Weight of HLW incl. WP	Measured activity of the HLW ^a (Bq/kg)	As at date ^b
First shipment	2	0.1468	367	591	4.49E+12	12/2026
		0.1492	373	597		
Second shipment	1	0.190	475	699	4.39E+12	2033

^a of all the radionuclides contained in the vitrified material

^b date to which the measured activity from the previous column relates

The SNF that will be created via the continued operation of the LVR-15 reactor will also be disposed of in the DGR. The operation of the Czech Republic's other research reactors (LR-0, VR-1 and VR-2) does not result in the creation of SNF due to their low thermal output and limited operating times. Only mildly irradiated nuclear fuel is produced, which will most probably be used in other research reactors or recycled following the decommissioning of the reactors.

The SNF from the LVR-15 reactor will be stored in ŠKODA VPVR/M transport WPs in the HLW storage area at ÚJV Řež, a.s. The total production of SNF anticipated following the extended operation of the reactor until 2038 is estimated at 390 fuel assemblies, which corresponds to 11 ŠKODA VPVR/M WPs.

2.1.3 Intermediate-level waste

Intermediate-level waste that does not meet the waste acceptance criteria for disposal in surface and near-surface repositories will also be disposed of in the deep geological repository. This waste will be disposed of in the repository complex, but in a separate section (the ILW section) to SNF. The locations of the SNF/HLW and ILW sections must be such that the safety functions of the two sections are ensured. According to (Concept, 2019), the weight of RAW that will be disposed of in the ILW section will be approximately 4500 tonnes.

The types of waste that will be disposed of will be as follows (Podlaha and Trtílek, 2023):

- RAW from the decommissioning of NPPs,
- RAW from the decommissioning of the LVR-15 research reactor,
- institutional RAW and
- sealed radionuclide sources.

Estimates of the amount of waste that will be generated from the decommissioning of NPPs vary depending on the selected decommissioning approach. The immediate NPP decommissioning option will result in the generation of more waste than via the gradual decommissioning approach; however, the difference will not be particularly significant (Podlaha and Trtílek, 2023).

The inventories for 1 block of EDU and 1 block of ETE of ILW that will be disposed of in the DGR, assuming the gradual decommissioning approach, are shown in Tab. 4 and Tab. 5. Tab. 6 provides a summary of the amount of such waste that will be generated by 1 block of NNS assuming the immediate decommissioning approach and corresponding to an expected NPP operational lifespan of 60 years. Tab. 7 illustrates the amount of RAW from the decommissioning of the LVR-15 reactor that is expected to be disposed of in the DGR.

Tab. 4 - Inventory of the amount of ILW considered for disposal in the DGR for 1 EDU block; gradual decommissioning option

Item	Weight (tonnes)
Reactor shaft	53.0
Core basket	8.8
Inner surface of the pressure vessel	10.1
Heat insulation	14.0
Serpentine concrete	38.5
Bottom of the core basket	13.2
Outer surface of the pressure vessel	90.9

Serpentine concrete edge of the active zone	38.5
Block of protective tubes	18.0
Serpentine concrete internal cladding	4.0
Inlet and outlet pipes up to 1 m from pressure vessel	8.0
Activated structural concrete	200.0
Activated components - sensors, cables	14.3
Total (rounded up to whole tonnes)	511

Tab. 5 - Inventory of the amount of ILW considered for disposal in the DGR for 1 ETE block; gradual decommissioning option

Item	Weight (tonnes)
Core sheath	35.0
Reactor shaft	58.1
Pressure vessel coating	22.7
Reactor pressure vessel	204.0
Heat insulation	20.5
Serpentine concrete in front of the ionisation channels	32.1
Serpentine concrete internal cladding	22.6
Fuel assembly steel supports	10.2
Block of protective tubes	17.1
Ionisation chamber channels	5.3
Support ring	11.4
Structural concrete cladding under serpentine concrete	1.4
Activated components - sensors, cables	4.3
Total (rounded up to whole tonnes)	444

Tab. 6 - Inventory of the amount of ILW considered for disposal in the DGR for 1 NNS block; immediate decommissioning option

Item	Weight (tonnes)
Steel	488.1
Other (activated components from operation)	5.1
Serpentine concrete	38.5
Total (rounded up to whole tonnes)	532

Tab. 7 - Amount of RAW from the LVR-15 reactor for disposal in the DGR

Item	Weight (kg)
Reactor vessel with stainless steel fittings (it is expected that only the lower part of the vessel will be disposed of in the DGR)	4,220
Aluminium reactor vessel fittings	387
Control rods	10
Beryllium reflectors (standard blocks: 27 items, atypical blocks: 6 items)	14,815
Parts of loops, probes, irradiated channels	
Total	19,432

The concept regarding the waste disposal packages for the disposal of the waste created via the decommissioning of the above-mentioned nuclear facilities, as well as for other ILW, has not yet been finalised (see chapter 4.2). A summary of the total estimated number of WDPs required for the waste from decommissioning is provided in Tab. 8.

Institutional radioactive waste that is currently stored at the Richard radioactive waste repository will also be disposed of in the DGR. This RAW does not meet the waste acceptance criteria for disposal in surface or near-surface repositories. Estimates of the future production of this type of RAW were based on a list of RAW accepted for storage from 2005 to 2014 (Podlaha and Trtílek, 2023). This waste is expected to amount to around 2000 drums, which corresponds to approx. 500 ILW waste packages.

High activity ionising radiation sources (IRS), which mainly comprise sealed radionuclide sources, must also be considered with respect to disposal in the DGR. The final number of WPs with IRS will depend on the amount of sources of radionuclides that will be used in the Czech Republic and on the maximum activity of the radionuclides that can be disposed of in one WP. Since it is not possible to determine the exact number of WPs based on the limited information available today, an estimate was made based on general characteristics. A study by (Podlaha and Trtílek, 2023) estimated that approx. 261 WPs will be required for the disposal of IRS.

A summary of the estimated number of waste packages (based on the ILW inventory) is provided in Tab. 8.

Tab. 8 – Estimated number of ILW waste packages based on data from Podlaha and Trtílek (2023)

Type of ILW	Estimated number of ILW waste packages
4 EDU blocks, gradual decommissioning	2,045
2 ETE blocks, gradual decommissioning	275
3 NNS blocks, immediate decommissioning	714
LVR-15 decommissioning	20
Institutional RAW	500*
Ionising radiation sources	261
Total	3,815

*SÚRAO estimate of the number of WP that will not meet the waste acceptance criteria for disposal at the Richard radioactive waste repository

2.2 Surface area

The surface area will house the technical facilities and equipment required for the preparation and disposal of SNF and RAW (near-surface section), the facilities and equipment required for the construction of the underground section (excavation), accommodation for workers at the site, and security, administration, information services, communication, etc. buildings. To date, the proposed design of the surface area is of a general nature, which takes into account all the anticipated requirements. The specific arrangement of the various buildings and facilities will be determined according to the local conditions of the finally chosen site for the DGR. The structures required for the ventilation of the underground complex will be located outside the DGR surface area. The various facilities will be secured via a comprehensive physical protection system.

In the event of the need for technical or organisational changes (updates) that exert an impact on nuclear safety, radiation protection, physical protection or emergency preparedness, the relevant documentation will be required, a full list of which is provided in Annex No. 1 of Act No. 263/2016 Coll., subject to the approval of the change to the approved documentation for permitted activities as described in safety manual JB-5.4 (SÚJB 2020).

The surface area will consist of a non-nuclear section and a nuclear section. The detailed breakdown of the various buildings will depend on the specific conditions at the given site, especially with respect to their being located within or outside the surface area of the DGR.

It is expected that the surface area will contain the following structures:

- Nuclear facility (NF) area – the surface area buildings located in the secured area associated with the preparation of RAW and SNF for disposal as classified as forming a part of the NF according to Act No. 263/2016 Coll., i.e. they fulfil an operational function. The surface area will also house other facilities e.g. underground area ventilation structures, the wastewater measurement plant, air conditioning supply structures and the sewerage and decontamination station.
- Workshops and warehouses – the provision of basic maintenance and repair services, the storage of materials for the long-term requirements for the construction, commissioning and SNF and RAW disposal phases of the DGR. The various structures will include oil, explosives and gas storage facilities, workshops and a non-nuclear storage hall. These structures will be located within the surface area of the DGR with the exception of the explosives facilities, which will be located both within the surface area and in the underground complex with the official status of a mine construction facility. The explosives facilities will be required to meet the requirements of ČBÚ (Czech Mining Authority) Decree No. 99/1995 Coll. on the storage of explosives. This issue will be addressed in detail in the later stages of the development of the project documentation.
- Fire protection – structures with a fire protection function: the mine rescue service station, the fire station and the water tank. With concern to legislation, underground fire protection is addressed in ČBÚ Decree No. 22/1989 Coll. on health and safety at work and operational safety during the conducting of mining activities and the underground extraction of non-reserved minerals (part 6 - Fire Protection) and ČBÚ Decree No. 2/1994 Coll., which sets out the conditions for the construction and operation of mine fire water mains. From the point of view of mining legislation, the deep geological repository is considered to be an “other” (non-gas, non-coal) mine; see ČBÚ Decree No. 22/1989 Coll. Section 172, paragraph 2.
- Reinforced excavation rubble dump – interim landfill site; the rubble handling facility will be located outside the surface area.
- Administrative buildings – personnel and administration facilities: the central administrative building, catering facility with a dining hall, etc. and the related infrastructure, i.e. transport services and non-nuclear-related facilities.
- Information centre – the information centre itself, cafe, gatehouse and related transport services and infrastructure.
- Other – to be specified depending on the conditions that prevail at the finally selected site.

It is currently assumed that the following structures will be located outside the DGR surface area:

- Preparation of bentonite – structures related to the preparation of the bentonite material. It is assumed that all the parts of this facility will be located outside the surface area of the DGR.

- Excavated material handling – structures for the processing of excavated material. It is assumed that all the parts of this facility will be located outside the surface area of the DGR, with the exception of the reinforced excavation rubble dump, parts of which will be located both within and outside the surface area.

2.2.1 Trans-shipment facility and facility for the preparation of RAW and SNF

These facilities will be housed in the near-surface section of the DGR; the main component will consist of the hot chamber, which will serve for the transfer of the contents of the waste transport containers into the WDPs in a hermetically sealed space.

Further, they will serve for the receipt, unloading and storage of SNF in the interim storage building that will be located near to the hot chamber. They will also be used for the receipt, preparation and inspection of empty WPs, their storage, filling and preparation for final disposal in the underground area of the DGR. Moreover, they will serve for the receipt and preparation of RAW for disposal. One part will be used for the preparation of SNF and another for the preparation of RAW and the components that are common to both.

The next stages of the project documentation will contain an update of the design of these facilities and a revision of the proposed technical design at the required level of detail.

2.3 Underground area

The concept for the design of the underground complex is based on the requirement to ensure both the efficient functioning and safety of the DGR during all its life stages (construction, operation, decommissioning, closure and post-closure). The underground area will comprise two parts, one for the disposal of SNF/HLW and the other for the disposal of ILW. In addition to the disposal areas, the underground area will include service corridors, the technical facilities and equipment required (workshops, storage areas and the drainage, mine water pumping and ventilation infrastructures) and connections (inclined tunnels and vertical shafts) with the surface area.

2.3.1 Excavation method

Two excavation methods are used for the excavation of underground structures such as the DGR in crystalline rocks - mechanised excavation (TBM) and conventional excavation (Drill and Blast). Both methods were considered in the process applied for the reduction of the number of candidate sites from 9 to 4; feasibility studies were prepared for 7 of the sites by (Špinko P., et al., 2020, TZ 514/2020), for the Janoch site, see (Zahradník O. et al., 2020b, TZ 518/2020) and for the Na Skalním site, see (Zahradník O. et al., 2020a, TZ 519/2020). The choice of the mining procedure influences various aspects of the DGR, e.g. the extent and design of the surface area, the handling procedure, the volume of backfilling material required and the price (Ikonnen, 2023, TZ 692/2023). Differences in terms of the best choice of excavation method for the four candidate sites are not significant from the point of view of the selection of the final and backup sites and will not influence the final choice of these sites. Therefore, in 2023 SÚRAO decided that one approach would be considered in the subsequent stages of the research, which will allow for the adoption of the most efficient and, above all,

most cost-effective approach in the next steps of the project. Moreover, the choice of one method will contribute to the optimisation of the DGR development time schedule, which is essential in terms of fulfilling the requirements of EU Commission Regulation 2022/1214 of 9 March 2022, i.e. the commissioning of the Czech DGR 15 years earlier than originally planned (see the RAW and SNF management Concept (2019)).

Although both the mechanised mining (TBM) and conventional mining (Drill and Blast) approaches can be used for the excavation of the DGR, each method has its advantages and disadvantages in terms of DGR construction (Ikonnien, 2023).

It was decided that the **conventional excavation method will be used; the decisive factors concerned its temporal and spatial flexibility compared to the TBM approach**. In contrast to the construction of classic underground structures, the construction of the DGR will proceed in stages over a relatively long period of time. Firstly, an adit will be driven into the disposal horizon; this will be followed by characterisation research aimed at obtaining detailed information on the rock mass in the horizon. Subsequently, a number of disposal spaces will be excavated in the disposal horizon, which will be followed by a period of disposal only (the excavation process will be halted). The intermittent nature of the mining process renders the TBM approach significantly less advantageous than the conventional excavation method and, in certain respects, more complicated. Conventional excavation allows for ease of access to the rock face for the needs of the geological characterisation research and easier solutions in cases where non-standard geological conditions are encountered. Further advantages of the conventional method include a smaller tunnel profile, which will reduce the amount of rubble produced and a consequent reduction in backfilling materials, and a simpler tunnel construction design solution.

2.3.2 Main mine workings

The term main mine workings refers to those workings that are directly connected to the surface. They comprise mainly access routes to the underground complex (excavation tunnel, loading tunnel and ventilation shafts and boreholes) that are used primarily for the transport of people, materials and waste to, and ensuring the ventilation of, the underground area of the DGR.

Two inclined tunnels will be constructed, one for the ongoing excavation of the underground area and the other for the loading of the SNF and RAW, so that once the DGR comes into operation, the excavation of new disposal sections will be separated from the already completed preparation and disposal sections. Moreover, the two-tunnel approach will allow for the separate access of personnel to the mining and disposal sections during the routine operation of the DGR. Although the loading and extraction tunnels will be separated by physical barriers, the design will allow for the simultaneous ventilation of both areas. In addition, the two inclined tunnel approach is ideal from the point of view of reacting to potential extraordinary events, i.e. it will be possible to shorten the escape routes via the construction of “evacuation bridges” between the two tunnels. Fresh air will be supplied via the loading tunnel and polluted air will be removed via the extraction tunnel.

2.3.2.1 Loading tunnel

The loading tunnel will be inclined at a maximum longitudinal slope of 1:10. The length of the tunnel will depend on the longitudinal slope of the mine working and the height difference between the tunnel portal on the surface and the disposal horizon. This tunnel will be used for the transport of SNF and other RAW to the disposal horizon and the loading of the waste and for the transport of personnel, materials and rubble between the surface and the disposal horizon. In terms of operational safety, it will also serve as an emergency escape route if required.

The design parameters of the loading tunnel (Butovič A., et al., 2020, TZ 512/2020) for the selected conventional excavation method will be:

- shape: arched,
- tunnel height: 6,550 mm,
- tunnel width: 6,000 mm and
- reinforcement method: according to the prevailing geological conditions.

A cross-section of the loading tunnel is shown in Fig. 3. The geometry of the inclined tunnels will be updated in subsequent stages of the DGR project.

2.3.2.2 Extraction tunnel

The extraction tunnel will run parallel to the loading tunnel and will be used to transport excavated rock to the surface (by wheeled vehicles or conveyor belts). The transport of rubble in the disposal horizon towards the extraction tunnel will be via the main and connecting corridors. The extraction tunnel will also be used to transport workers to and from the excavation and construction sections. In terms of operational safety, it will also serve as an emergency escape route if required. The design parameters of the extraction tunnel will be identical to those of the loading tunnel. A cross-section of the extraction tunnel is shown in Fig. 3. The geometry of the inclined tunnels will be updated in subsequent stages of the DGR project.




Fig. 3 - Cross-section of the loading and extraction tunnels (Butovič, A., et al., 2020, TZ 512/2020)

2.3.2.3 Vertical mine workings

The design solution for the vertical mine workings (mining and extraction shafts) will be selected according to the Grünwald, L., et al., 2017, TZ 134/2017 and Butovič, A., et al., 2020, TZ 512/2020 reports, taking into consideration the parameters of the given site; alternatively, a variant involving a system of ventilation wells will be proposed. The technical solution options will be processed according to updates to selected parts of the reference concept.

2.3.3 Disposal horizon

The disposal horizon will feature a system with a main and connecting corridors that serve to connect the disposal sections, all of which will be complemented by the technical equipment for the handling, loading and disposal of WDPs/WPs.

2.3.3.1 Disposal section

The waste disposal sections will be excavated in a suitable rock block(s) (more details on the rock blocks at the four candidate sites can be found in Špinka, O., et al., 2020a; Špinka, O., et al., 2020b; Zahradník, O., et al., 2020 and Butovič, A., et al., 2020). The HLW/SNF part will comprise two sections (see chapter 3) at one height level and the ILW part (see chapter 4)

which may be located at a different height level than the HLW/SNF part. The dimensions of the transverse profiles for the various types of RAW will be determined in subsequent stages of the project with concern to the main, connecting and loading corridors for HLW/SNF and the loading spaces for ILW (corridors, silos, caverns); the exact final dimensions will depend, *inter alia*, on the dimensions of the transport equipment and the final decision on the sizes of the WDPs/WPs.

The DGR project currently assumes the emplacement of the WDPs with SNF in individual vertical wells, which will be lined and sealed at the top and bottom with a buffer material. It is also assumed that each disposal corridor will be filled with either SNF or HLW.

Concerning the disposal of ILW, the geometry of the disposal spaces (corridors, caverns or silos), the design of the ILW disposal container and the type of backfilling material for the ILW section (based on cement or bentonite) will be determined as part of subsequent updates to the DGR project.

2.3.3.2 Main and connecting corridors

The main corridors will connect the excavation and construction section and the preparation and disposal section with the disposal wells, which will be located in a suitable rock block in the SNF disposal horizon. The disposal corridors in which the vertical disposal wells will be located will be excavated from the main corridors. The dimensions of both the corridors and the wells will be determined in subsequent stages of the DGR project. The figures below show cross-sections of the corridors as proposed in previous stages of the project, see Fig.4 and Fig.5.




Fig.4 - Transverse cross-section of the main/connecting corridors (Butovič, A., et al., 2020)




Fig.5 - Cross-section of a disposal corridor (Butovič, A., et al., 2020)

According to the project documentation prior to its updating (Špinko, O., et al., 2020a; Špinko, O., et al., 2020b; Zahradník, O., et al., 2020 and Butovič, A., et al., 2020), the main corridors will have an arched cross-section with vertical walls; they will be 6.0 m wide and 5.35 m high. The disposal corridors will also have an arched cross-section with vertical walls; they will be 4.0 m wide and 6.7 m high. The minimum transverse dimensions of the corridor profiles for the approach envisaged for the disposal of the WDPs are: 3.5 m wide and 3.5 m high. These dimensions also apply to the loading tunnel and the main and connecting corridors. The minimum dimensions of the disposal corridors are: 3.5 m wide and 5.5 m high.

The DGR project considers that the disposal facility will also serve as a transport facility, i.e. the transfer of the WDPs from the loading area near to the hot chamber to the storage location and, subsequently, to the final disposal site (the disposal wells). In terms of transportation, the dimensions of the loading tunnel and the main and connecting corridors are the same as described above. However, the situation differs concerning the disposal corridors in which it will be necessary to tilt the WDP handling device into the vertical position prior to emplacement in the disposal wells.

2.3.3.3 Technical layout of the disposal horizon

The technical layout in this part of the underground area of the DGR will comprise a system of corridors and other structures that will serve to ensure continuous support for the construction and subsequent operation of the repository.

- The dimensions of the corridors will depend on the decision on the means of transport to be used in the disposal horizon.
- The technical layout will include the structural separation of the ongoing construction and disposal sections.

The design of this part of the repository will take into account the structures and processes associated with the disposal of WDPs in the disposal wells. The processes will comprise the final closure and sealing of the wells and the backfilling of the disposal corridors.

The technical layout of the underground area of the DGR will combine the demands set by the preparation and disposal section (e.g. an electrical power substation, workshops for the repair and maintenance of machinery, fire-related and lubricants storage areas and a cleaning and maintenance facility) and those set by the excavation and construction section (similar components to the preparation and disposal section with the addition of e.g. a sump with a pumping station) and the demands that are common to both sections (e.g. staff meeting areas, a first aid station and a testing chamber).

3 SNF/HLW disposal section

The HLW disposal section will serve for the disposal of both SNF from nuclear power plants (see 2.1.1) and other high-level waste (see 2.1.2). This section will be divided into sub-sections so as to optimise the concurrence of the construction and operation of the DGR dependent upon the rate of the production of SNF and the geometric arrangement of the rock blocks.

Unprocessed SNF will be permanently disposed of in the DGR in WDPs that will be emplaced in the disposal wells and then surrounded by a buffer material. It is currently expected that other HLW will be disposed of in the same section as SNF. The access corridors to these wells, so-called disposal corridors, will be backfilled and sealed with plugs. It is currently assumed that each disposal corridor will be filled with either SNF or HLW.

The Czech disposal concept is based on the Swedish KBS-3 concept (SKB, 2010) as adapted to the crystalline rock environment of the Bohemian Massif, see Fig. 6. Unlike the Swedish concept, the Czech concept assumes the use of steel WDPs (Matulová et al., 2023) and Czech Ca-Mg bentonite (Hausmannová et al., 2018; Svoboda et al., 2022).




Fig. 6 – Illustration of the disposal of SNF in the DGR

The SNF/HLW disposal sections will be made up of disposal corridors in which the disposal wells will be located. The number of disposal sections, the axial distance between the corridors and the number of corridors will be decided in future updates of the DGR project and will vary according to the selected site.

According to (Špinko, O., et al., 2020a; Špinko, O., et al., 2020b; Zahradník, O., et al., 2020 and Butovič, A., et al., 2020), the following specifications are currently being considered:

Tab. 9 – Geometry of the SNF disposal spaces according to the site

Site	Number of SNF/HLW disposal sections	Axial distance between the disposal corridors	Number of disposal corridors	Total length of all the disposal corridors
Březový potok	2	35.5 m	103	79 km

Horka	2	25.5 m	88	94 km
Hrádek	2	35.5 m	72	72 km
Janoch	2	25.5 m	122	88 km

Basic parameters with respect to the SNF and other RAW disposal sections:

- Long-term safety will be assessed over a time horizon from the closure of the DGR up to 1,000,000 years (Vrba et al., 2023)
- Location at a depth of approx. 500 m below the drainage base (Pospíšková et al. 2011, Holub et al. 1999)
- The minimum required area for the underground part of the DGR depends on the thermal properties of the candidate sites (Grünwald L., et al., 2017); 197 ha was defined for the hypothetical site. The required underground areas for the 4 candidate sites according to Špinka, O., et al. (2020a), Špinka, O., et al. (2020b), Zahradník, O., et al. (2020) and Butovič, A., et al. (2020) are as follows: 291 ha (Březový potok), 250 ha (Horka), 269 ha (Hrádek) and 236 ha (Janoch)

3.1 WDP disposal method

One of the most important factors in the technical design of the DGR concerns how the WDPs will be placed, whether vertically in individual disposal wells (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 7) or horizontally in longer wells in which several WDPs are placed end-to-end. In the past, SÚRAO considered the vertical disposal method (Holub I., et al., 1999), the horizontal disposal method (Pospíšková I. et al., 2011) and both methods simultaneously (Špinka P., et al., 2020, Zahradník O. et al., 2020b, Zahradník O. et al., 2020a), which resulted in the proposal of 2 technical design solutions. However, with concern to subsequent stages of the DGR project, it has been decided that only one method will be considered, which will allow for the more efficient and, above all, cost-effective continuation of the project. Selecting one approach will also contribute to the optimisation of the time requirements, which is essential in terms of fulfilling EU Commission Regulation 2022/1214 of 9 March 2022, i.e. the commissioning of the Czech DGR 15 years earlier than planned according to the Concept (2019).

SÚRAO has decided that the vertical disposal method only will be considered in the subsequent stages of the DGR project. The fundamental argument concerns the availability of information on the vertical disposal method (Ikonnen, 2023), which allowed for the preparation of a fully justifiable safety case (POSIVA Safety Case).




Fig. 7 – Diagram of the vertical disposal system

A number of uncertainties surround the horizontal disposal method with respect to crystalline rock masses that could exert major impacts on long-term and/or operational safety, as listed below (Ikonen, 2023):

- the influence of bentonite erosion and the potential occurrence of the domino effect in horizontal disposal wells,
- the technology applied for the remediation of faults in horizontal disposal wells and the necessity for the development of a so-called mega-packer,
- the necessity for the artificial hydration of horizontal disposal wells,
- the handling of WDPs in horizontal disposal wells in emergency situations, i.e. multiple WDPs may be affected.

However, the horizontal disposal method has one significant advantage over the vertical method, i.e. it is not necessary to excavate and equip disposal corridors, which results in a reduction in the extent of excavated spaces and the associated smaller amount of rubble produced and backfilling materials required, both of which reduce the costs of the DGR. Nevertheless, due to the number of issues that remain unresolved with respect to the horizontal disposal method, this option has practically been ruled out; however, SÚRAO will continue to monitor developments in this area going forward.

3.2 Disposal corridors

The term disposal corridor refers to the underground corridors in the disposal horizon in which the vertical disposal wells are located and which are used for the transport of WDPs prior to disposal. Once all the disposal wells in an individual corridor have been filled, the corridor will be backfilled with a suitable material and finally sealed with a sealing pressure plug. The corridors will be required to have no significant geological disturbances or active groundwater inflows (the exact criteria will be specified in the subsequent stages of the DGR development project). Only those materials that will not exert a negative impact on the safety functions of the engineered barriers will remain in the disposal corridors (support structures, soil levelling materials) prior to backfilling and final closure with the sealing plug (Večerník et al., 2022, TZ 616/2022).

It is assumed that the dimensions of the disposal corridors (Grünwald L., et al., 2017) using the conventional excavation method will be:

- corridor height: 6,700 mm and
- corridor width: 4,000 mm.

3.3 Disposal wells

The disposal wells will consist of circular large-profile boreholes drilled into the floors of the disposal corridors. Each well will contain one WDP.

3.3.1 Disposal wells for WDPs with SNF

The parameters of the disposal well for the vertical disposal concept were originally defined in SÚRAO technical report TZ 134/2017 (Grünwald L., et al., 2017). Subsequently, as part of the standardisation of the outer diameter of the WDP for all the types of spent nuclear fuel that will be produced, the dimensions of the disposal wells were adjusted. Subsequently, the proposal for the placement of a concrete plug over the buffer in the disposal well was replaced by the placement of a bentonite spacer plug so that the buffer will not be negatively affected by the elevated pH of the concrete. Aimed at ensuring the homogeneity of the buffer, the spacer block will be 1.5 m longer than the originally planned concrete plug, which led to the requirement to extend the depth of the disposal wells.

Design parameters of the disposal well:

- Diameter of the disposal well: 1,650 mm (for all types of SNF) (Svoboda et al., 2022)
- Depth of the disposal well for VVER 440 SNF: 6,540 mm and for VVER 1000 and NNS SNF: 7,955 mm. (Svoboda et al., 2022)
- The axial distance between the wells will depend on the type of SNF (the thermal output of the WDP, see 2.1.1), the thermal properties of the rock mass and the structural calculations (Grünwald, L., et al., 2017, Butovič, A., et al., 2020, Špinka, O., et al., 2020a, Špinka, O., et al., 2020b, Zahradník, O., et al., 2020). The currently assumed axial distances between the disposal wells are shown in Tab. 10.

Tab. 10 – Axial distances between the disposal wells according to the DGR site and type of SNF

Site / Fuel	VVER 440	VVER 1000	NNS
Hypothetical site	4,600 mm	7,750 mm	18,000 mm
Březový potok	5,000 mm	7,500 mm	13,250 mm
Horka	8,700 mm	8,000 mm	13,500 mm
Hrádek	4,750 mm	7,000 mm	11,500 mm
Janoch	5,200 mm	8,250 mm	15,250 mm

- The expected total number of disposal wells is 7600 for WDPs with SNF (Grünwald L., et al., 2017).
- The estimated reserve for determining the areal extent of the disposal sections was set at 20%, which corresponds to 1520 wells.
- The excavation disturbed zone around the disposal wells is assumed to be 350 mm (Grünwald L., et al., 2017).

3.3.2 Disposal wells for other HLW

This issue has not yet been fully resolved. In the event that other HLW is disposed of in WDPs with the same dimensions as for SNF (see Pospíšková et al., 2022 – TZ 657/2022), the dimensions will be identical to those described above. In the case of modifications, however, it will be necessary to adjust the dimensions. The axial distance between the wells will be determined based on the thermo-technical calculations.

3.4 Waste disposal package – WDP

The WDP will serve as the primary physical barrier in terms of ensuring the long-term safety of the DGR.

3.4.1 WDPs for SNF from nuclear power stations

The WDP will consist of an outer casing (cover, lid, plug and bottom) made of S355J2H+N carbon steel and an inner casing (cover, lid, plug and bottom) made of 1.4404 stainless steel. The number of fuel assemblies placed in the WDP will vary according to the type of fuel; 7 for SNF from the Dukovany VVER 440 reactors (see Fig. 8) and 3 for SNF from the Temelín VVER 1000 and planned NNS reactors (see Fig. 9). The design of the WDP and a description of the materials and technologies is described in SÚRAO report TZ 665/2023 (Matulová et al., 2023).

The WDP design and parameters are based on the results of a research project that focused specifically on the WDP (Forman L., et al., 2021).

WDP design parameters:

- Minimum lifespan: 1,000,000 years
- Maximum temperature on the WDP surface: 95°C
- Maximum design pressure on the WDP: up to 20 MPa (Hasal et al., 2019)
- WDP specifications according to the SNF:

Fuel	VVER 440	VVER 1000	NNS
No. of WDPs	3,100	1,800	2,700
Height	3,790 mm	5,205 mm	Value not yet determined
Width	Outer casing 914/65 mm, inner casing 7 assemblies 244.5/36 mm	Outer casing 914/65 mm, inner casing 3 assemblies 355.6/40 mm	
Weight of the WDP + fuel assemblies	17,379 kg	12,135 kg	Value not yet determined




Fig. 8 – Model of the ŠKODA 440/7 WDP (Forman L., et al., 2021)




Fig. 9 – Model of the ŠKODA 1000/3 WDP (Forman L., et al., 2021)

3.4.2 WDP for LVR-15 SNF

Whereas no specific WDP design has yet been proposed for SNF from research reactors, one potential solution according to the (Pospíšková et al., 2022 – TZ 657/2022) report comprises the use of a similar WDP as that for SNF from nuclear power plants (see 3.4.1) since the requirements will be similar in terms of SNF disposal. However, the design will be required to meet the conditions for ensuring nuclear safety (ensuring subcriticality) and suit the dimensions of the disposed of fuel assemblies.

3.4.3 WDP for reprocessed fuel

No specific WDP has yet been proposed for reprocessed fuel. However, the (Pospíšková et al., 2022 – TZ 657/2022) project suggested a potential approach to the design of such a WDP (Fig. 10). The outer dimensions of the WDP will depend on the thickness of the wall, which will be determined based on the results of strength calculations (the fulfilment of mechanical strength requirements) and the required service lifespan (degradation of the WDP and the loss of its safety function).




Fig. 10 Conceptual design of the WDP for vitrified waste from the reprocessing of LVR-15 SNF (Pospíšková et al, 2022)

3.5 Buffer

The buffer (damping and sealing barrier) is one of the engineered barriers; it will be made of compacted bentonite and will be placed in the disposal wells so as to surround the WDPs. The use of Czech calcium-magnesium bentonite is assumed.

The required safety and technical functions that will be provided by the buffer can be divided into the following categories (Svoboda et al., 2022):

- Minimisation of the access of water and aggressive materials to the WDP; retardation of the degradation of the WDP
- Prevention/minimisation of the development of microbial corrosion
- Chemical compatibility with the WDP materials (no acceleration of the degradation of the WDP)
- Ensuring the removal of heat from the WDP
- Mechanical protection and stabilisation of the WDP
- Minimisation/retardation of the transport of radionuclides

The parameters of the buffer are based primarily on the long-term research of Czech bentonites, as described in SÚRAO report TZ 309/2018 (Hausmannová L., et al., 2018), the results of which were analysed in report TZ 632/2022 (Šachlová et al., 2022). The buffer was designed on the basis of the results of the analysis and a DGR technical design project (Grünwald L., et al., 2017), as subsequently refined following the determination of the dimensions of the disposal well.

The currently preferred option for the buffer comprises a combination of pressed blocks as the main filling material and pelletised material for the joints and the levelling of the bottom of the well (Svoboda et al., 2022 – TZ 644/2022). The buffer for the 440 WDPs (Fig. 11) and the buffer for the 1000 WDPs (Fig. 12) have the same parameters except for the height, which was determined by the differences in the lengths of the two WDPs. A summary of the properties and composition of Czech bentonite is provided in SÚRAO report TZ 644/2022 (Svoboda et al., 2022).

Buffer design parameters:

- Form: a combination of blocks (main filling material - 3.5.1) and pellets (bottom levelling layer - 3.5.2 and the filling of the technological joints - 3.5.3)
- Width: 368mm (268mm: main filling material and 50mm: filling of the joints between the WDP/buffer and the buffer/rock mass); this value is based on a minimum buffer thickness requirement of 350mm, which is then extended based on the availability of profiles for drilling and the diameter of the WDP
- Thickness under the WDP: 400 mm (350 mm: main filling and 50 mm: levelling layer)
- Thickness above the WDP: 350 mm
- Height: 4,540 mm (WDP 440) and 5,775 (WDP 1000)
- Dry density: averaged (blocks and pellets) in the area below and above the WDP: 1,664 kg/m³; and around the WDP: 1,618 kg/m³.
- Water content following the placement of the buffer: at least such as to sufficiently remove the heat from the WDP, the temperature of the surface of which must not be in excess of 95°C; no specific value has yet been determined.

3.5.1 Main filling material

The main filling material of the disposal wells will comprise bentonite blocks with a dry density of 1,700 kg/m³, which was selected so that even in the space around the WDP, after the filling of the technological joints, the required average buffer ρ_d of 1,600 kg/m³ will be achieved.

The blocks will be pre-assembled in layers and emplaced layer-by-layer in the wells. The arrangement of the individual blocks in the layers and the thicknesses of the layers will be decided in the subsequent stages of the DGR project.

3.5.2 Bottom levelling layer

The bottom levelling layer will be constructed from pelletised bentonite with a minimum average ρ_d following disposal of 1,400 kg/m³. The thickness of the levelling layer will depend on the technology selected for the excavation of the disposal wells. The conceptual design assumes a thickness of 50 mm.

3.5.3 Filling of the technological joints

The presence of so-called technological joints is necessary due to a number of factors including borehole tolerances, the ease of the handling of the WDPs and the filling blocks and the ability to efficiently fill the joints between the various components of the disposal well without the formation of cavities. It is assumed that the width of these joints will be 50 mm. The technological joints along the lengths of the WDP and the borehole walls will be filled with pelletised bentonite with a minimum average ρ_d following disposal of 1,400 kg/m³.




Fig. 11 Diagram of the filling of the disposal wells for 440 WDPs (Svoboda et al., 2022)




Fig. 12 Diagram of the filling of the disposal wells for 1000 WDPs (Svoboda et al., 2022)

3.6 Spacer block

The spacer block, which will be made from bentonite with the same material parameters as the buffer, comprises one of the engineered barriers; it will be positioned in the top part of the disposal wells above the WDP and the buffer. The main function of the spacer block is to ensure that the buffer remains firmly in place so that it does not swell, which would negatively affect its safety function.

The parameters of the spacer block are based primarily on the long-term research of Czech bentonites, as described in SÚRAO report TZ 309/2018 (Hausmannová L., et al., 2018), the results of which were analysed in report TZ 632/2022 (Šachlová et al., 2022). The spacer block was designed according to the results of this analysis and the overall requirements of the DGR design project (Grünwald L., et al., 2017), which proposes a spacer block height of 500 mm; however, this height will probably not be sufficient in terms of preventing the swelling of the buffer. The DGR Concept proposes a minimum spacer block height of 2 m, which will have to be verified in subsequent stages of the DGR project.

The currently preferred option for the spacer block is a combination of pressed blocks as the main filling material and pelletised material for the filling of the joints (Svoboda et al., 2022 – TZ 644/2022), see Fig. 11 and Fig. 12. A summary of the properties and composition of Czech bentonite is provided in SÚRAO report TZ 644/2022 (Svoboda et al., 2022).

Spacer block design parameters:

- Form: a combination of blocks (main filling - 3.6.1) and pellets (technological joint filling - 3.6.2)
- Width: 1,650 mm (main filling: 1,550 mm and technological joint filling: 2 x 50 mm)
- Height: 2,000 mm
- Dry density: 1,664 kg/m³
- Moisture content following the placement of the spacer block: at least such as to sufficiently remove the heat from the WDP, the temperature of the surface of which must not be in excess of 95°C; no specific value has yet been determined.

3.6.1 Main filling

The main filling material will comprise bentonite blocks of $\rho_d = 1,700 \text{ kg/m}^3$. The dry density was chosen so that the required average $\rho_d = 1,600 \text{ kg/m}^3$ will be ensured in the disposal space.

The blocks will be pre-assembled in layers and emplaced layer-by-layer. The arrangement of the individual blocks in the layers and the thicknesses of the layers will be decided in the subsequent stages of the DGR project.

3.6.2 Filling of the technological joints

The presence of so-called technological joints is necessary due to a number of factors, including borehole tolerances and the ability to efficiently fill the joints between the various components without the formation of cavities. It is assumed that the width of these joints will be 50 mm. The technological joints will be filled with pelletised bentonite with a minimum average ρ_d following disposal of 1,400 kg/m³.

3.7 Backfill

The backfill comprises one of the engineered barriers; it is made from compacted pelletised bentonite and serves to seal the disposal corridors. It must prevent the excessive shifting of the buffer material out of the disposal wells (sufficient resistance to the swelling of the buffer and/or the spacer blocks from the wells into the corridors). Furthermore, the backfill must act to minimise the transport of water and radionuclides. However, it must allow for gases to be drained from the buffer without the impairment of its sealing function. In general, it can be stated that the backfill and the buffer have similar general characteristics.

The design parameters of the backfill (see Fig. 13) are based primarily on the long-term research of Czech bentonites, as described in SÚRAO report TZ 309/2018 (Hausmannová L., et al., 2018), the results of which were analysed in report TZ 632/2022 (Šachlová et al., 2022). The backfill was designed based both on the results of the analysis and the DGR technical

design project (Grünwald L., et al., 2017). A summary of the properties and the composition of Czech bentonite is provided in SURAO report TZ 644/2022 (Svoboda et al., 2022).

Backfill design parameters:

- Dry density of the whole of the barrier: 1,400 kg/m³
- Moisture content following placement: this value has not yet been determined
- Form: pellets
- Pellet parameters:
 - ρ_d : over 2,000 kg/m³
 - Moisture content: this value has not yet been determined
 - Granularity: not yet determined




Fig. 13 – Technical design of the backfill (Svoboda et al., 2022)

3.8 Disposal corridor plugs

The disposal corridor plug comprises one of the engineered barrier components; it is a multi-layered concrete structure that will be positioned at the mouths of the backfilled disposal corridors. It is conical in shape and is wedged into the rock in the form of a ring around the corridor profile. A diagram of the plug is shown in Fig. 14.

The design parameters of the plug are based primarily on the requirements set out in the DGR project (Grünwald L., et al., 2017) and the results of the DOPAS international project (Dvořáková M., et al., 2013).

The preliminary design of the plug is described in report TZ 644/2022 (Svoboda et al, 2022); however, the current design will have to be verified by means of structural calculations and with regard to the key dimensioning conditions, i.e. the stress conditions in the disposal horizon, the expected swelling pressures that act on the plug, the operational conditions when backfilling the disposal corridors, etc.

Plug design parameters:

- Form: concrete blocks (lost formwork) and the body of the plug made from sprayed or monolithic concrete (a description of the properties of the concrete is provided in SÚRAO Report TZ 644/2022, Svoboda et al, 2022)
- Preliminary plug thickness: 2,750 mm (the body of the plug: 2,500 mm and the lost formwork: 250 mm)
- Resistance to the swelling pressure exerted by the backfill material and hydrostatic pressure: 7 MPa
- Compressive strength of the plug construction material (concrete): this value has not yet been determined
- The minimum volume of aggregates in the construction material (concrete) of the plug: this value has not yet been determined




Fig. 14 Diagram of the disposal corridor plug; monolithic concrete may be used instead of shotcrete (Svoboda et al, 2022)

Notes: ¹⁾ Diameter of the disposal corridor excavated using the TBM approach, ²⁾ Width of the disposal corridor excavated using the conventional excavation method

4 ILW disposal area

Intermediate-level waste that does not meet the acceptability conditions for disposal in existing repositories will be disposed of in a separate part of the underground section of the DGR at a depth of several hundred metres below the surface/terrain. The waste will be emplaced in disposal chambers in special waste packages and then surrounded with the other engineered barriers and components. A diagram of the disposal method is shown in Fig. 15.

A report by Pospíšková et al. (2011) suggested that the ILW disposal horizon would be located at the same level as the SNF disposal section. Since it is assumed that the backfill will include cement-based materials, the location of this horizon was re-evaluated since due to the assumed volume of the backfill material and the relatively small distance from the SNF disposal section it could not be ruled out that the cement would negatively impact the bentonite buffer in the SNF disposal wells.

Hence, the concept of a separate ILW disposal horizon was adopted in subsequent studies on the location of the DGR at the candidate sites (e.g. Špinka et al. (2018) or Bureš et al. (2018)). The boundary conditions were set as follows:

- Disposal of RAW in a horizon with an overburden of min. 300 m;
- Disposal of RAW in a horizon at least 50 m above the SNF disposal horizon;
- The RAW must be disposed of within the identified suitable rock block and close to the loading tunnel (subject to the applicability of the 2 above-mentioned conditions).

At present, It has not been clearly determined where the chambers will eventually be constructed. The final decision on the location of the ILW chambers must take into account:

- The geological conditions at the selected site;
- The disposal inventory (majority radionuclides and their properties, including radiotoxicity);
- The form of the RAW (RAW material and whether in the form of a matrix or not);
- The properties of the backfilling material in the RAW chamber (the material used and its compatibility with the other elements of the DGR, including the WP).

Basic technical parameters of the ILW disposal area in the DGR:

- The time frame for the long-term safety assessment will depend on the site chosen for the DGR. A longer time horizon for the ILW section than for the SNF/HLW section (i.e. 1,000,000 years from the closure of the DGR) is not being considered.
- Location depth: the ILW disposal section must be at a sufficient depth below the surface so as to ensure the required degree of isolation from both the surface and the biosphere, as well as from the SNF/HLW disposal section.
- The minimum area required for the ILW disposal section is 5.3 ha (Grünwald L., et al., 2017)
- Gas permeability must be ensured.




Fig. 15 - Diagram of the disposal of ILW in disposal chambers

4.1 ILW disposal chambers

The ILW disposal chambers (see Fig. 16 and Fig. 17) will comprise conventionally excavated caverns situated in the underground part of the DGR. They will be used for the disposal of ILW waste packages.

The ILW disposal chambers will be linked via connecting corridors to the loading tunnel. The chambers and connecting corridors will be lined with sprayed concrete reinforced with a metal mesh where necessary. The floors of the chambers will be levelled with a layer of concrete.

The design parameters of the disposal chambers were based on the technical design of the DGR project (Grünwald L., et al., 2017):

- Length: 55,000 mm
- Width: 10,500 mm
- Height: 4,800 mm
- Expected number of WPs: 3,815 (chapter 2.1.3)
- The estimated reserve for determining the areal extent of the disposal sections is 20%.
- Number of WP in each chamber: 204

It is assumed that the design of the ILW disposal chambers will be optimised in the subsequent stages of the DGR project.




Fig. 16 - ILW disposal chamber – floor plan (Svoboda et al., 2022)




Fig. 17 – ILW disposal chamber – cross-section (Svoboda et al., 2022)

4.2 ILW waste package

The technical design of the WP is based on the Reference Project 1999 (Holub I., et al., 1999) and on SÚRAO report TZ657/2022 (Pospíšková et al., 2022). The WP is cube-shaped and allows for the emplacement of 4 steel drums each with a volume of 216 litres (see Fig. 18). The steel drum has a diameter of 595 mm and a height of 880 mm. The outer dimensions of the WP will depend on the thickness of the wall, which will be determined based on strength calculations (fulfilment of the mechanical strength requirements) and the required service life (degradation of the WP and the loss of its safety function).

The provisional design parameters of the WP are as follows:

- Length: 1,700 mm
- Width: 1,700 mm
- Height: 1,500 mm
- Weight: this value has not yet been determined
- Number of WPs in the ILW disposal area: 3,815 (see chapter 2.1.3))




Fig. 18 - Design of the WP for 4 drums of ILW (Pospíšková et al., 2022)

4.3 Chamber backfilling material

This filling material will serve for the backfilling of the chambers prior to closure. The form of the material must be such that it ensures the long-term stabilisation of the emplaced WPs.

SÚRAO report TZ 657/2022 (Pospíšková et al., 2022) contains the results of a survey on the approaches of other countries to the disposal of ILW. One of the results was that with respect to RAW containing long-term radionuclides, the filling materials considered are based primarily on cement, bentonite and crushed rock or mixtures of these materials. Following on from this research, two options were proposed depending on the materials used, i.e. bentonite and cement backfilling. Details regarding these two options and their potential application and locations are provided in SÚRAO report TZ 644/2022 (Svoboda et al., 2022).

The design parameters of this engineered barrier have not yet been determined.

4.4 Disposal chamber plug

The disposal chamber plug comprises one of the engineered barrier components – a concrete structure that is placed at the mouths of the filled disposal chambers; it is conical in shape and is wedged into the rock in the form of a ring around the whole of the corridor. The concept is based on the disposal corridor plug (see chapter 3.8) taking into account that the geometry will differ according to the dimensions of the corridor.

- Corridor height: 5,400 mm.
- Corridor width: 5,600 mm.

The design proposal for the geometry of the disposal chamber plug will have to be verified by means of structural calculations and with regard to the key dimensioning conditions, i.e. the stress conditions in the disposal horizon, the expected swelling pressures that act on the plug, the operational conditions when backfilling the disposal corridors, etc.

Plug design parameters:

- Form: concrete blocks (lost formwork) and the body of the plug made from sprayed or monolithic concrete (a description of the properties of the concrete is provided in SÚRAO Report TZ 644/2022, Svoboda et al, 2022)
- Resistance to the potential swelling pressure exerted by the backfill material and hydrostatic pressure: 7 MPa
- Compressive strength of the plug construction material (concrete): this value has not yet been determined
- The minimum volume of aggregates in the construction material (concrete) of the plug: this value has not yet been determined.

The design of the ILW chamber closure plugs will be optimised at the same time as that of the design of the disposal chambers and the decision on the type of material that will be used for the stabilisation of the WPs.

5 Closure of the DGR

The closure of the DGR will be the final stage in the SNF/RAW disposal process. Closure will involve the filling of all the free spaces in the DGR aimed at ensuring the long-term isolation of the waste in the repository from the biosphere. Plugs will make up one of the key components in the closure process.

5.1 Backfilling in the closure stage

The design parameters of the sealing material to be used in the final closure of the DGR were based primarily on the DGR design proposal and were defined according to the location and depth (disposal horizon/medium horizon/near-surface) in the DGR (see Tab. 11).

Tab. 11 – Division of the closure process into sections according to depth and with the relevant parameters

Depth	Disposal horizon- 500 m	Medium horizon -200 – (-500) m	Surface to near-surface part (up to - 200 m)
Dry density	Identical to the backfill	Value has not yet been determined	Value has not yet been determined
Permeability coefficient	Value has not yet been determined	Value has not yet been determined	Value has not yet been determined
Form	Bentonite	Bentonite/ aggregate	Aggregate

5.1.1 Backfilling of the disposal horizon

This component will be made of bentonite and will serve for the backfilling of the main corridors and technical areas in the disposal horizon of the DGR (corridors, caverns). It is the same material as that of the backfill as described in chapter 3.7 (Svoboda et al., 2022).

Backfill design parameters:

- Dry density of the whole of the barrier: 1,400 kg/m³
- Moisture content following placement: this value has not yet been determined
- Form: pellets
- Pellet parameters:
 - ρ_d : over 2,000 kg/m³
 - Moisture content: this value has not yet been determined
 - Granularity: not yet determined

5.1.2 Backfilling above the disposal horizon (medium horizon)

The backfilling materials for the loading and extraction tunnels in the depth range - 500 to - 200 m will be similar to the backfill material described in chapter 3.7 with the difference that it is currently assumed that the bentonite material will be supplemented with aggregate, which will reduce the costs and allow for the adjustment of the hydraulic and other characteristics so

as to better match the prevailing conditions in the surrounding environment (Svoboda et al., 2022).

The design parameters have not yet been determined.

5.1.3 Backfilling above the disposal horizon (near-surface)

It is expected that the filling material for the loading and extraction tunnels in the depth range - 200 m to the surface will consist of aggregate (Svoboda et al., 2022).

The design parameters have not yet been determined.

5.2 Closure/sealing plugs

The closure of the DGR will include the installation of plugs, which will fulfil different functions depending on their location:

- plugs in the disposal horizon (chapter 5.2.1),
- pressure and sealing plugs (chapter 5.2.2) and
- closure plugs (chapter 5.2.3).

5.2.1 Plugs in the disposal horizon

The technical design of the plug has not yet been finalised. SÚRAO report TZ 134/2017 (Grünwald et al., 2018) states that the plug will be wedged into the rock mass in the form of a ring around the whole of the corridor. A diagram of the assumed geometry of the plug for the mechanised or conventionally excavated main corridors with respect to its greatest width, regardless of the disposal method, is shown in Fig. 19.

Future research will be aimed at verifying the proposed geometry of the plug via structural calculations taking into account the key dimensioning conditions, i.e. the stress conditions in the disposal horizon, the swelling pressures that can be expected to act upon the plug, the operational conditions during the backfilling of the corridors and caverns that will house the technical equipment used in the underground part of the DGR, etc.




Fig. 19 Diagram of the operational plug for the main corridors in the disposal horizon (Grunwald et al., 2018)

The design parameters of the plug are the same as those of the disposal corridor plugs (see chapter 3.8):

- Form: concrete blocks (lost formwork) and the body of the plug made from sprayed or monolithic concrete (a description of the properties of the concrete is provided in SÚRAO Report TZ 644/2022, Svoboda et al, 2022)
- Plug thickness: 2,750 mm (the body of the plug: 2,500 mm and the lost formwork: 250 mm)
- Resistance to the swelling pressure exerted by the backfill material and hydrostatic pressure: 7 MPa
- Compressive strength of the plug construction material (concrete): this value has not yet been determined
- The minimum volume of aggregates in the construction material (concrete) of the plug: this value has not yet been determined.

5.2.2 Pressure and sealing plugs

Pressure and sealing plugs will be used in the DGR at locations in the rock mass that need to be hydraulically separated, for example along tectonic disturbances.

The structural design of this component and the respective construction technology were not considered in detail in the DGR design solution proposed by (Grünwald et al., 2018). However, according to the results of the DOPAS international project (Dvořáková et al., 2014), it is assumed that it will comprise a multi-layered structure with conically-shaped external concrete elements, which will be wedged into the rock mass in the form of a ring around the tunnels/main corridors, and an internal sealing element made of bentonite that will be located in the tectonically disturbed section.

Future research will be aimed at verifying the proposed geometry of the pressure and sealing plug via structural calculations taking into account the key dimensioning conditions, i.e. the stress conditions, the swelling pressures that can be expected to act upon the plug, the operational conditions during the backfilling of the tunnels/main corridors, etc.

A diagram of the pressure and sealing plug for the main corridor excavated using the TBM method is shown in Fig. 20 for illustration purposes.




Fig. 20 - Diagram of the pressure and sealing plug for the main corridors following excavation using the TBM approach (Svoboda et al., 2022)

5.2.3 Closure plugs

The structural design of this component and the respective construction technology were not considered in detail in the DGR design solution proposed by (Grünwald et al., 2018). It is important to note that the closure of main mine workings is currently governed by ČBÚ Decree No. 52/1997 Coll.

According to Section 2 a) of ČBÚ Decree No. 52/1997 Coll. the closure of such structures requires the placement of a reinforced concrete slab over the mouth of the structure with dimensions that respect the expected load.

A general description of the closure plug for the loading and extraction tunnels is provided in SÚRAO report TZ 644/2022 (Svoboda et al., 2022). A diagram of the closure of a shaft according to ČBÚ Decree No. 52/1997 Coll. is shown in Fig. 21 for illustration purposes.




Fig. 21 Diagram of the closure of a shaft (Svoboda et al, 2022)

The above-mentioned decree sets out, *inter alia*, requirements concerning ensuring health and safety in the workplace and operational safety during the closure of main mine workings, during operation and during the underground extraction of unreserved minerals. However, the closure methods and principles set out in the decree may not suit the requirements for the long-term safety of the DGR following closure. Currently, it is not possible to define in detail the requirements concerning the safety function of this DGR component.

6 Conclusion

This document provides a brief summary of the basic design parameters of the future Czech DGR. The conventional excavation method will be used in the construction of the underground part of the repository and SNF will be disposed of in vertical wells.

The document will be updated regularly in the subsequent stages of the DGR development project so as to include newly obtained and verified data, which will be used in the further research and development of the future Czech deep geological repository.

References

- DVOŘÁKOVÁ M., HANUSOVÁ I., SVOBODA J., VENCL M. (2014): Experiment EPSP – stavba zátky pro hlubinné úložiště radioaktivního odpadu v rámci Evropského projektu Dopas. Tunel 23 (2), 4-10.
- BUTOVIČ A., GRÜNWARD L., BUREŠ P., POŘÍZEK J., ŠPINKA O., SOURAL J., ZAHRADNÍK O., MARTINČÍK J., KOBYLKA D. (2020): STUDIE UMÍSTITELNOSTI – AKTUALIZACE. HORKA. ČVUT-SATRA-MOTT MACDONALD CZ, MS SÚRAO, TZ 512/2020
- FIEDLER, F. A KOL., LOKALITA ČIHADLO - LODHÉŘOV. OVĚŘENÍ PLOŠNÉ A PROSTOROVÉ LOKALIZACE HLUBINNÉHO ÚLOŽIŠTĚ. STUDIE, UHERSKÝ BROD: EGPI INVEST, SPOL. S R. O., 2012.
- FORMAN L., PICEK M. DOBREV D., GONDOLLI J., MENDOZA MIRANDA A.N., STRAKA M., KOUŘIL M., STOULIL J., MATAL O., ČERMÁK J., KRÁL L., ŽALOUDEK J., VÁVRA M, ČUPR M. (2021): ZÁVĚREČNÁ TECHNICKÁ ZPRÁVA VÝZKUMNÁ ČÁST PROJEKTU VÝZKUM A VÝVOJ UKLÁDACÍHO OBALOVÉHO SOUBORU PRO HLUBINNÉ UKLÁDÁNÍ VYHOŘELÉHO JADERNÉHO PALIVA DO STADIA REALIZACE VZORKU, PRAHA, ZZ 544/2021
- GRÜNWARD, L., BUREŠ P., ŠPINKA O., POŘÍZEK J., NOHEJL J., FIEDLER F., KOBYLKA D., BITTNAR Z., ZAHRADNÍK O. (2017), Optimalizace podzemních částí HÚ referenčního projektu, PRAHA: SPOLEČNOST "ČVUT-SATRA-MOTT MACDONALD CZ", SURAO TZ 134/2017
- HASAL M., MICHALEC Z., BLAHETA R. (2019): PROVEDENÍ PŘEDBĚŽNÉHO VÝPOČTU TLAKU NA UOS - ZÁVĚREČNÁ ZPRÁVA. – MS SÚRAO, TZ 388/2019, PRAHA.
- HAUSMANNOVÁ L., HANUSOVÁ I., DOHNÁLKOVÁ M. (2018): Shrnutí výzkumu českých bentonitů pro hlubinné úložiště – do roku 2018. - MS SÚRAO, TZ 309/2018, Praha.
- HOFMANOVÁ E., ČERVINKA R., VOPÁLKA D., BABOROVÁ L., BRÁZDA L., PECKOVÁ A., VETEŠNÍK A., VIGLAŠOVÁ E., VAŠÍČEK R. (2019): TRANSPORT RADIONUKLIDŮ Z ÚLOŽIŠTĚ / VSTUPNÍ PARAMETRY A PROCESNÍ MODELY PRO HODNOCENÍ TRANSPORTU RADIONUKLIDŮ PŘES INŽENÝRSKÉ BARIÉRY: ZÁVĚREČNÁ ZPRÁVA. – MS SÚRAO ZZ 420/2019, PRAHA.
- HOLUB, J. A KOL., REFERENČNÍ PROJEKT POVRCHOVÝCH I PODZEMNÍCH SYSTÉMŮ HÚ V HOSTITELSKÉM PROSTŘEDÍ GRANITOVÝCH HORNIN V DOHODNUTÉ SKLADBĚ ÚVODNÍHO PROJEKTU A HLOUBCE PROJEKTOVÉ STUDIE, PRAHA: EGP INVEST, SPOL S R. O., 1999.
- IAEA (2011A): SAFETY STANDARDS, DISPOSAL OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE, SPECIFIC SAFETY REQUIREMENTS, No. SSR- 5, IAEA, VIENNA 2011
- IAEA (2011B), DISPOSAL OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE, SPECIFIC SAFETY GUIDES, No. SSR-14, MAAE, VIENNA, 2011
- IKONNEN A., SAANIO T., KOSKINEN L., KEMPPAINEN K. (2023), Preconceptual designs assessment, MS SURAO, TZ 692/2023
- KOBYLKA, D. (2019): Optimalizace vzájemné vzdálenosti UOS, Závěrečná zpráva, MS SURAO, TZ 135/2017
- MATULOVÁ M., HAUSMANNOVÁ L., MALINA J., ŠATURA L. (2023): Ukládací obalový soubor pro vyhořelé jaderné palivo v České republice. MS SÚRAO TZ 665/2023

- PODLAHA J. A TRTÍLEK R. (2023): Aktualizace databáze vysokoaktivního odpadu / středněaktivního odpadu určených pro ukládání do hlubinného úložiště – MS SÚRAO TZ 676/2023, Praha.
- POSIVA Safety Case – soubor mnoha zpráv, které jsou publikovány v databázi <https://www.posiva.fi/en/index/media/reports.html> (filtrovat pomocí „Safety Case“)
- POSPÍŠKOVÁ I. ET AL. (2009): Aktualizace referenčního projektu hlubinného úložiště radioaktivních odpadů v hypotetické lokalitě – I. etapa – Analýza vstupních předpokladů řešení. ÚJV Řež a.s. – divize ENERGOPROJEKT PRAHA.
- POSPÍŠKOVÁ, I. ET AL. (2011), AKTUALIZACE REFERENČNÍHO PROJEKTU HLUBINNÉHO ÚLOŽIŠTĚ RADIOAKTIVNÍCH ODPADŮ V HYPOTETICKÉ LOKALITĚ, PRAHA: ÚJV ŘEŽ A. S. - DIVIZE ENERGOPROJEKT.
- POSPÍŠKOVÁ I., KRAJŇÁK M., VOZÁR M. (2022): Výběr a zdůvodnění možných typů obalových souborů pro syntézu úložného systému v České republice – MS SÚRAO TZ 657/2022, Praha
- RATAJ J., FRÝBORT J., HERALTOVÁ L., FEJT F., REŠERŠNÍ SLOŽENÍ A GEOMETRIE ANALYZOVANÝCH PALIVOVÝCH SOUBORŮ. - MS SÚRAO, TZ 7/2015, PRAHA.
- SKB DESIGN AND PRODUCTION OF THE KBS-3 REPOSITORY. SKB TR 10-12. SVENSK KÄRNBRÄNSLEHANTERING AB, 2010
- SVOBODA, J, ŠTÁSTKA, J., VAŠÍČEK, R., ŠPINKA, O., BUREŠ, P., POSPÍŠKOVÁ, I., VOZÁR, M., KRAJŇÁK, M., ŠACHLOVÁ, Š., VEČERNÍK, P., ZUNA M., HAVLOVÁ, V., STIBLÍKOVÁ, P., ČERNÁ, K., HLAVÁČKOVÁ, V. (2023), Návrh českého koncepčního řešení bufferu, backfillu, zátek, výplní komor ostatních RAO, ostatních výplní a konstrukčních prvků, TZ 644/2022rev.1, SÚRAO, Praha.
- ŠPINKA O., BUTOVIČ A., BUREŠ P., GRÜNWARD L., POŘÍZEK J., SOURAL J., ZAHRADNÍK O., MARTINČÍK J., KOBYLKA D. (2020): STUDIE UMÍSTITELNOSTI – AKTUALIZACE. HRÁDEK. ČVUT-SATRA-MOTT MAC DONALD CZ, MS SÚRAO, TZ 513/2020 ŠPINKA O., BUTOVIČ A., BUREŠ P., GRÜNWARD L., POŘÍZEK J., SOURAL J., ZAHRADNÍK O., MARTINČÍK J., KOBYLKA D. (2020): STUDIE UMÍSTITELNOSTI – AKTUALIZACE BŘEZOVÝ POTOK. ČVUT-SATRA-MOTT MACDONALD CZ, MS SÚRAO, TZ 514/2020
- TOUŠ M., HAVLOVÁ V., ČUBOVÁ K. (2018): INVENTARIZACE RAO NEPŘIJATELNÝCH DO PŘÍPOVRCHOVÝCH ÚLOŽIŠŤ. – MS SÚRAO ZZ 230/2018, SÚRAO, PRAHA.
- TVEL[online] (2022): ЭНЕРГИЯ РОСТА - ENERGIYA ROSTA. [cit. 2022-07-28]. Dostupné z: <https://www.tvel.ru/upload/iblock/3ff/3ff2896a9172663b99d2a71ff5a57d3e.pdf>
- VEČERNÍK P., SVOBODA J., STIBLÍKOVÁ P., POSPÍŠKOVÁ I., ŠPINKA O., DOBREV D., HAVLOVÁ V., ZUNA M., VAŠÍČEK, R., ČERNÁ K., HLAVÁČKOVÁ V., VOZÁR M., BUTOVIČ A. (2022): Zhodnocení konstrukčních prvků z hlediska vzájemných interakcí s materiály na bázi bentonitu v úrovni ukládacího horizontu – TZ 616/2022, SÚRAO, Praha
- VOKÁL A., POSPÍŠKOVÁ I., VONDROVIC L., KOVÁČIK M., STEINEROVÁ L. (2017): POŽADAVKY, INDIKÁTORY VHODNOSTI A KRITÉRIA VÝBĚRU LOKALIT PRO UMÍSTĚNÍ HLUBINNÉHO ÚLOŽIŠTĚ. METODICKÝ POKYN SÚRAO MP 22. – SÚRAO, PRAHA, VER. 3

VRBA, T., LAHODOVÁ Z., LUKIN, D., MIKLÁŠ, O., POPELOVÁ, E. (2023): Časové rámce bezpečnostních rozboru hlubinného úložiště, Správa úložišť radioaktivních odpadů, TZ 710/2023

ZAHRADNÍK O, PÖPPERLE J, MAKÁSEK P, HABARTA D, BUTOVIČ A, GRÜNWARD L, BUREŠ P, ŠPINKA O, MARTINČÍK J, KOBYLKA D (2020A): STUDIE UMÍSTITELNOSTI – AKTUALIZACE, NA SKALNÍM, ČVUT-SATRA-MOTT MACDONALD CZ, MS SÚRAO, TZ 519/2020

ZAHRADNÍK O, PÖPPERLE J, MAKÁSEK P, BUTOVIČ A, GRÜNWARD L, BUREŠ P, ŠPINKA O, MARTINČÍK J, KOBYLKA D (2020b): Studie umístitelnosti – aktualizace, Janoch, ČVUT-SATRA-Mott MacDonald CZ, MS SÚRAO, TZ 518/2020

WESTINGHOUSE NUCLEAR [online] (2022): VVER-1000 Fuel Products. In: . [cit. 2022-07-28].
Dostupné z: https://www.westinghousenuclear.com/Portals/0/flysheets/VVER-1000_181121.pdf

Legislation and Directives:

Update of the State Energy Concept (ASEK) of the Czech Republic presented to a meeting of the Government of the Czech Republic on 22 December 2014.

The Czech Radioactive Waste and Spent Nuclear Fuel Management Concept approved on 26 August 2019 via Resolution of the Government of the Czech Republic No. 597/2019.

Act No. 263/2016 Coll., on the peaceful use of nuclear energy (the Atomic Act), as amended.

Czech Mining Office Decree of 25 February 1997, which establishes requirements for ensuring health and safety in the workplace and operational safety during the closure of main mine shafts.



SÚRAO

SPRÁVA ÚLOŽIŠŤ
RADIOAKTIVNÍCH
ODPADŮ

NAŠE
BEZPEČNÁ
BUDOUCNOST

www.surao.cz